UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/774,105	02/06/2004	. •	Thomas M. DiMauro		D0601-700519	9012
•	OWRIE, LANDO & ANASTASI RIVERFRONT OFFICE STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F				EXAMINER	
RIVERFRONT						
ONE MAIN ST CAMBRIDGE,	FREET, ELEVENTH F MA 02142	FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>2 1</i>					3761	
					MAIL DATE	DELIVERY M ODE
					07/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/774,105	DIMAURO ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAMPAISSONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO , cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09 M</u>						
′=	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	<i>-х рапе Quayle</i> , 1935 С.	J. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 111-120 is/are pending in the applica						
_	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	•				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
•	Claim(s) <u>111-120</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	r alastian requirement					
ا_(ە	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
,	The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	cammer, Note the attache	a Onice Action of John P10-192.				
-	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document	·	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior						
	application from the International Burea	•	·				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachme	• •						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔯 Info	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/17/07</u> .		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 7-05)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 111-120 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3761

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 111-116, and 118-120 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. US 2002/0173769 in view of Kubota et al. US 20030125679. Gray discloses an infusion set comprising a needle housing 22 having a proximal port, a distal port and a base surface; a mounting pad (paragraph 0071) coupled to the base surface of the needle housing and having a transverse hole; and a transcutaneous cannula 16 having a proximal end connected to the distal port of the needle housing and a distal end that is adapted to be fed through the transverse hole (paragraph 0071). Gray does not disclose the transcutaneous cannula comprises a tube having an outer wall and an inner wall, and an outer photocatalytic layer attached to the outer wall of the tube.

Kubota describes an implant including a photocatalytic unit. Kubota teaches titanium oxide as a photocatalyst layer and teaches the tube exhibits excellent antibacterial acitivity due to the photocatalyst layer(Abstract). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the tube of Gray with a photocatalytic layer for the benefit of providing antibacterial qualities to the tube as taught in Kubota. and where the photocatalytic layer is porous (paragraph 008) has a UV transmissive material (paragraph 0011). Kubota teaches the thickness of the oxidized layer of up to 10 μm (paragraph 0015). Kuboto discloses a base material 13, wave guide 32 made of

Art Unit: 3761

silicone, and photocatalytic layer 16 comprising semiconductor oxide and further containing silver ions (paragraph 0068).

5. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gray et al. US 2002/0173769 in view of Kubota et al. US 200301256 as applied to claims 111-114 above, and further in view of Lichtin et al. USPN 4861484. Gray/Kubota disclose the present invention substantially as claimed. However, Gray/Kubota does not disclose the outer surface of the titania is doped with nitrogen. Lichtin discloses providing environmentally compatible reaction products using nitrogen containing compositions for the benefit of providing easily neutralized or otherwise containable reaction products (col. 5, lines 8-19). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to use nitrogen compositions in the invention of Gray/Kubota for the benefits taught in Lichtin.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

Application/Control Number: 10/774,105 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/774,105 Page 6

Art Unit: 3761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jacqueline F Stephens Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3761

July 22, 2007